# **Evaluating the Correctness of Text-to-Image Generations**

Haoyu Guan, Qixuan Xiao, Honghu Luo, Yanyu Ren

#### Abstract

As text-to-image synthesis methods being 002 widely used in real-world applications, the need for evaluation metrics is becoming increasingly pressing. In recent years, Inception Score (IS)(Salimans et al., 2016), Fréchet In-006 ception Distance (FID)(Heusel et al., 2017), 007 R-precision, and Semantic Object Accuracy (SOA)(Hinz et al., 2019) have been the popular evaluation metrics used by the SOTA text-toimage synthesis models. Nevertheless, these evaluation metrics only focus on image quality, diversity, and consistency which is not comprehensive. In this project, we propose 2 different methods to evaluate the physical consistency 014 015 of the image. One method combines segmentation and Vision Transformer (ViT)(Dosovitskiy 017 et al., 2020) to predict and classify the image. Another method fine-tunes CLIP based on physical rules set to learn an image encoder that can be used for scoring and classifying images. We demonstrate that both methods can reach a good accuracy on the dataset we built and can give out a reasonable score to an image.

### 1 Introduction

024

034

040

In recent years, generative models have acquired the capability to generate natural language that is comparable to human language, create limitless synthetic images of high quality, and produce highly diverse human speech and music. These models can be utilized in various applications, such as generating images from text inputs or learning valuable feature representations. Some state-ofthe-art models, like GANs and diffusion models, can generate high-quality pictures on most imagegeneration tasks.

Despite the rapid growth of text-to-image synthesis methods, current evaluation methods are far from perfect. It is necessary to propose a more comprehensive evaluation framework. Traditional evaluation methods such as Inception Score (IS)(Salimans et al., 2016) and Fréchet Inception Distance (FID)(Heusel et al., 2017) are intuitive but have limited performance. R-precision and Semantic Object Accuracy (SOA)(Hinz et al., 2019) are better as they take the meaning of the text into consideration. Counting Alignment (CA)(Dinh et al., 2021) can evaluate whether the number of objects is correct, but it cannot detect some features that violate physical laws.

042

043

045

046

047

051

057

060

061

062

063

064

065

067

068

069

070

071

072

073

074

075

076

077

078

079

Those methods only focus on image quality, diversity, and consistency which are not comprehensive. To make evaluation metrics more comprehensive, We suggest two distinct approaches for assessing the physical coherence of the image. The first approach involves using segmentation and Vision Transformer to predict and categorize the image. It segments the human in an image into different parts first and then uses ViT to classify these preprocessed images and give scores. The second method fine-tunes CLIP based on physical principles to learn an image encoder that can be utilized for scoring and classifying images.

We reproduced several experiments using four different evaluation metrics: Inception Score, Fréchet Inception Distance, Structure of Appearance, and Pixel Accuracy, on four different text-toimage synthesis models: AttnGAN, AttnGAN++, CPGAN, and real images. Using these results as our baseline, we trained and fine-tuned our models. We demonstrated that both techniques can achieve high accuracy on their constructed dataset and can provide a reliable score for an image.

### 2 Related Work

**CLIP** To analyze inputs and outputs in a textto-image model, here introduces CLIP(Radford et al., 2021)(Contrastive Language-Image Pretraining). State-of-the-art computer vision systems are trained to predict a set of object categories. But this type of system restricted generality and usability since demands on supervision is expanded. Natural Language Processing is used to analyze 082the meaning of text with probability models. By083mapping raw text to image, CLIP can predict image084captions as visual concepts. It uses an efficient and085scalable way to learn SOTA image representations086on a data set of 400 million (image, text) pairs.087Further, CLIP has been tested performances on var-088ious downstream vision tasks, including zero-shot,089segmentation, caption, video, etc. As one of its090downstream tasks, comparing caption of an image091and input text can be used to evaluate their matches.

Capture Sub-parts of Objects Text-to-image 092 093 generation methods can produce high-quality and high-resolution images, but they restricted on creating contents that human wouldn't accepted. Judge, 096 Localize, and Edit(Park et al., 2022) aims to automatically judge the immorality of synthesized images and manipulate images into a moral alternative. They trained an auxiliary text-based im-099 morality classifier with 13,000 textual examples 100 and corresponding binary labels, and utilized CLIP 101 to convert texts and images into joint embedding, 102 then the recognizer will classified input texts in a zero-shot manner. Next, they extended the textual immorality classifier to visual attribute identifica-105 tion. Employing a random input approach can mea-106 sure the importance of an image region by setting 107 it masked or observed based on model's decision 108 to classify immorality. By utilizing the idea of 109 textual and visual concepts identification, human 110 information or body parts can be retrieved. 111

ViT ViT (Vision Transformer)(Dosovitskiy et al., 112 2020) is a type of neural network architecture that 113 has been shown to perform well on computer vision 114 tasks such as image classification and object detec-115 tion. It is based on the Transformer architecture 116 originally developed for natural language process-117 ing and replaces the traditional convolutional layers 118 with self-attention mechanisms that allow the net-119 work to attend to different parts of the input image. 120 This makes it particularly effective for processing large images and handling long-range dependen-122 cies. Vit has achieved state-of-the-art performance 123 on several benchmark datasets and is considered a 124 promising direction for future research in computer 125 vision. 126

Evaluation Metrics Although the great achievements of the state-of-the-art methods for text-to-image synthesis such as GANs, Stable Diffusion,
the present evaluation methods are not as desired.
The current evaluation pipelines mainly focus on

two aspects: the image quality and the conformity 132 between the image and its caption. Some com-133 monly used evaluation metrics for the image qual-134 ity are Inception Score (IS)(Salimans et al., 2016) 135 and Frechet Inception Distance (FID)(Heusel et al., 136 2017). IS metric uses the pretrained Inception-137 v3 model to calculate the Kullback-Leibler diver-138 gence (KL-divergence) between conditional distri-139 bution and cmarginal distribution of the generated 140 images. FID calculates the Frechet distance be-141 tween the actual images and the generated images 142 using the feature from the pretrained Inception-143 v3 model .. In addition to these, many evaluation 144 metrics have been proposed for text-image consis-145 tency. R-precision (RP)(Xu et al., 2017) used syn-146 thesized image query again the input caption and 147 calculated matching score using cosine similarity 148 between image encoding vector and text encod-149 ing vector. Semantic Object Accuracy (SOA)(Hinz 150 et al., 2019) using the pre-trained object detector 151 to evaluate whether objects mentioned in the cap-152 tion are contained in the image, which ranks the 153 models in a similar way to humans. Furthermore, 154 there are some pipelines that combine different 155 evaluation metrics together to achieve a better per-156 formance such as TISE (Text-to-Image Synthesis 157 Evaluation)(Dinh et al., 2021). 158

# CDCL-human-part-segmentation Cross-

159

Domain Complementary Learning Using Pose 160 for Multi-Person Part Segmentation (Lin et al., 161 2020), is a human body part segmentation method proposed by Kevin Lin and his team. This 163 approach takes advantage of the rich and realistic 164 variations of the real data and the easily obtainable 165 labels of the synthetic data to learn multi-person 166 part segmentation on real images without any 167 human-annotated labels. Without any human 168 labeling, this method performs comparably to 169 several state-of-the-art approaches which require 170 human labeling on Pascal-Person-Parts and COCO-171 DensePose datasets. Their pre-trained model 172 predicts 6 body parts in the images and achieves 173 72.82% mIOU on the PASCAL-Person-Part 174 dataset. The segmentation of this model is based 175 on the human skeleton (pose) representation and 176 is less disturbed by other factors such as clothing. 177 The segmentation of the target image will help us 178 to train the classification model later. 179 **Preliminaries** 

FID are defined as follows:

Traditional metrics such as IS and FID are used

to evaluate image quality. The formulas of IS and

 $IS = \exp(\mathbb{E}_x D_{KL}(p(y|x) || p(y)))$ 

 $FID = ||\mu_r - \mu_a||^2 + \operatorname{trace}(\Sigma_r + \Sigma_g - 2(\Sigma_r \Sigma_g)^{\frac{1}{2}})$ 

where x is the generated image and y is the class label,  $X_r \sim \mathcal{N}(\mu_r, \Sigma_r)$  and  $X_q \sim \mathcal{N}(\mu_q, \Sigma_q)$  are

the features of real images and generated images

extracted by a pre-trained Inception-v3 model. For

IS, smaller P(y|x) means the object in the image

is more distinct, and larger p(y) means the im-

ages are more diverse. For FID, a lower the dis-

tance between real images and generated images

means better image quality and diversity. Other

metrics focus on the consistency between text and

image. Semantic Object Accuracy (SOA) is pro-

posed to determine whether the objects in the text

can be matched in the image. There are two types

of SOA metrics which are SOA-I (average recall be-

tween images) and SOA-C (average recall between

 $SOA - C = \frac{1}{|C|} \sum_{c \in C} \frac{1}{|I_c|} \sum_{i \in C} YOLOv3(i_c)$ 

 $SOA - I = \frac{1}{\sum_{c \in C} |I_c|} \sum_{c \in C} \sum_{i, c \in I} YOLOv3(i_c)$ 

where C is the object class set,  $I_c$  is a set of images

belonging to object class c and  $YOLOv3(i_c) \in$ 

 $\{0,1\}$  will return 1 if YOLOv3 detected an object

of class c. Despite SOA can match objects between

texts and images, it fails to consider the relation

between objects. Positional Alignment(PA) is pro-

posed to evaluate the position relation between ob-

jects. PA defines a set of positional words as W

and constructs a query problem. For each gener-

ated image  $G_i$  and text  $T_i$ , it generates mismatched

texts  $F_i$  by replacing the position word w. In this

way, a set  $D_w = \{(G_{wi}, T_{wi}, F_{wi})\}_{i=1}^{N_w}$  is created,

where  $N_w$  is the number of texts having position

word w. PA is calculated by the query success rate

classes), their formulas are as follows:

3

### 181 182

183

- 184 185
- 18
- 1

189

191

192 193

195

- 196 197
- 198

199

201

20

203

204

205

20

207

208 209 210

211 212

213 214

1

216 217 218

219

of triplets in  $D_w$ , the formula is as follows:

221  $PA = \frac{1}{|W|} \sum_{w \in W} \frac{k_w}{N_w}$ 

where  $k_w$  is the number of success cases, and |W|is the total number of words. Despite the aforementioned metrics have covered wide aspects, there are more details needed to be considered when we evaluate the text-to-image synthesis. Inspired by the existing metrics, we propose a more comprehensive metric that can evaluate whether the generated images obey the defined physical rules or commonsense which are not mentioned in the original text.

226

227

228

229

230

231

233

234

235

236

238

239

240

241

242

243

244

245

246

247

249

250

251

252

253

254

255

256

257

258

259

261

262

263

264

265

266

267

269

270

271

272

273

# 4 Physical Consistency Evaluation and Classification

Inspired by popular state-of-the-art methods for text-to-image synthesis, our approach classifies the physical inconsistency of output images. One approach, we use CLIP to embed image captions and pixels into a common space and assign body words with high prediction to each cluster of pixels. Then, we take image feature encoding to a classification network to produce evaluation metrics. In another approach, we trained a classifier to determine whether the generated single-person images are consistent with physical common sense using CDCL+Pascal Human body part Segmentation+Vision Transformer(ViT).

# 4.1 Segment+ViT

The core idea of this approach is to use a body part segmentation model to automatically annotate and highlight each part of the human body in the generated images, and later use the ViT model to learn the relative relationships among them.

Because there is a limit to the amount of data that we can label manually and the self-attention layer of ViT lacks locality inductive bias, we need to augment our dataset. We used shift(cv2.warpAffine), RandomRotation(10,90), and flip to augment our data manually. By using data augmentation, we want our ViT model to focus attention on the relative relationship of body parts, rather than memorizing the absolute position of each part on the image. We then use the pre-trained CDCL-human part segmentation model to automatically segment and annotate the body into 7 parts from the generated images. The segmented images are then resized and later used for training the ViT classification model.

Since ViT models require a huge amount of data to achieve good performance. It's not feasible to train a ViT model from scratch. So we used a pre-trained ViT model vit-base-patch16-224-in21k, which was trained on ImageNet-21k(14 million images, 21,843 classes) at resolution 224x224. The pre-trained model learns an inner representation

362

363

364

365

366

367

368

322

323

324

274of images that can then be used to extract features275useful for downstream tasks. After that, we put in276our data (original + augmented) to fine-tune our277ViT model. In this way, we obtain a classifier that278can determine with acceptable accuracy whether279the generated single-person picture conforms to280physical common sense.

### 4.2 Fine-tuned CLIP

283

284

286

290

291

294

296

297

301

302

305

310

311

As shown in Figure 1, Our model takes advantage of the basic CLIP model. We used three steps to analyze the physical rules of images and evaluate the physical consistency score: 1. generate texts that describe the images, 2. fine-tune the CLIP base model, and 3. classify the image embedding to evaluate scores.

### 4.2.1 Free-Form Caption Generation

First, we tested the accuracy of the CLIP model with prompts of different structures, content, sensitivity, and inclusiveness. A good finding shows CLIP is not sensitive to the choice of numbers, some words will hint at the entity of images, however, they depend on the quality of data from the pre-trained model. According to each image, we manually annotate them by the following features: how many people are in the picture, the visual impact of character sizes in distances, the direction in which characters are facing, and the correctness of shapes for character head, hands, and legs. Then we use a template to generate free-form captions for the input images, and in addition, on the template, we include the word "human" to imply it's a human-related text-image matching job.

# 4.2.2 Fine-tuning

Our approach to fine-tuning CLIP for Physical Consistency Evaluation is shown in Figure 1. Specifically, text and image representations are both generated by transformers, vision transformer is applied to produce image representation. The trained image encoder is used to produce evaluation metrics.

Language Encoder We adopt the well-designed pre-trained language model from the CLIP base which is published by OpenAI. We analyzed the language model and found out it has logical flows. And training a language model with 400,000,000 text is difficult for our work due to time limitations, thus we decided to fine-tune the CLIP pre-trained language model. We batched free-form captions into a balanced batch sampler, to maximize and reduce the bias. Then we tokenize batches of freeform captions and feed them into the pre-trained language model, for a total of 10 epochs, and all model weights are updated.

**Image Encoder** We generated images from captions of the MS-COCO dataset with people objects with the Stable Diffusion model. We leverage the dataset to make our image annotation equally contributed. Then input images are downsampled to be fed into a vision transformer. Assuming the input image size is  $H \times W$ , and the down-sampling factor is ds, we define  $\tilde{H} = \frac{H}{ds}$  and  $\tilde{W} = \frac{W}{ds}$ .

After the text and image inputs are embedded, we correlate them using inner products, creating a tensor  $\tilde{H} \times \tilde{W} \times N$  as the inner product of the *N*-dimensional vector of text embedding and the image embedding. After obtaining the correlation tensor, we check the cosine similarity of text and image pairs for minimizing it.

#### 4.2.3 Physical Consistency Score Evaluation

For the downstream fine-tuning experiments, we treated the fine-grained physical consistency attributes from the image encoder as a binary classification task where each attribute in an image is assumed as an independent feature and images can be assigned multiple features which are shown in Figure 1. Then we used an MLP layer with a dropout of 0.2 to get the binary classification result. The score is calculated from the weights of matched body parts multiply by the result classification probability.

# 5 Experiment

In the experiment section, we first test the previous evaluation metrics for text-image matching using the baseline model on the MS-COCO dataset. And some early classification experiments based on whether it conforms to common sense were conducted on hand images. Then we evaluate both the segmentation + ViT method and the finetuning CLIP method in the generated images set with people objects from the MS-COCO dataset. We demonstrate the segmentation + ViT method and the fine-tuning CLIP method has a remarkable classification accuracy on our generated dataset. What's more, we will show both methods can give out a reasonable score to judge the physical consistency of the image based on the defined physical rules set.



Figure 1: The architecture of Fine-tuned CLIP and Physical Consistency Score Evaluation

395

400

401

402

371

372

# 5.1 Evaluation Metrics Reproduce

#### 5.1.1 Experimental Setup

**Datasets** We use the MS-COCO dataset to test the evaluation metrics. This dataset has approximately 120K images, where 80K images are for training and 40K for validation. The MS-COCO dataset also has coordinates of bounding boxes and segmentation masks for 80 categories of objects and pixel maps of 91 categories of background regions like walls, sky, or grass.

**Baseline Models** We test the current evaluation metrics on some SOTA text-to-image synthesis models. Here we use AttnGAN, AttnGAN++, and CPGAN as the baseline models.

**Evaluation Metrics** We test the existing evaluation metrics based on the defined dataset and baseline models. We use IS and FID to evaluate the image realism, RP to evaluate the text relevance, SOA to evaluate the object accuracy and PA to evaluate the relation between objects. Here, we use the YOLO-v3 as the object detector to compute SOA.

#### 5.1.2 Results and Discussion

We conduct text-to-image synthesis on the MS-COCO dataset using the baseline models and evaluate them using the evaluation metrics we chose. The result of different metrics on different baseline models is shown in Table 1.

Based on the results, we can draw some insights. Firstly, AttnGAN++ outperforms AttnGAN on all metrics. Secondly, we observe that CPGAN achieves a score close to that of real images, which could be attributed to the use of YOLOv3 in both CPGAN and SOA, leading to potential overfitting.

Table 1: Evaluation Metrics Result

Model	IS	FID	SOA-I/C	PA
AttnGAN	33.76	36.90	49.78/47.13	40.08
AttnGAN++	54.63	26.58	69.97/67.83	47.75
CPGAN	59.64	50.68	83.83/81.86	43.28
Real Images	51.25	2.62	91.19/90.02	100

403

404

405

406

407

408

409

410

411

412

413

414

415

416

417

418

419

420

421

422

423

424

425

426

427

#### 5.2 Early experiments on hand images

#### 5.2.1 Experimental Setup

The first experiment is about determining whether the generated hand images are "true" (in line with physical common sense). The choice of the hand as an experimental target is a first attempt to challenge the current difficulties in the field. At the time we collected the hand dataset, we found that only about 8% of the images generated by Stable Diffusion could be classified as true. It can be said that the current image generation model still cannot generate realistic hand images properly.

**Datasets** The first part of the dataset consists of 400 generated images of size 512\*512 pixels from the stable diffusion official website, with the prompt "single real hand". The second part of the dataset contains a total of 175 real hand images obtained from Adobe Stock. The dataset comprises a total of 575 images, which were later resized to 128\*128 pixels to facilitate training and memory for the first experiment. We randomly selected 500 images for the training set and 75 images for the test set.

**Evaluation Metrics** The determiner is binary, so if an image is considered to be true, it is marked

as 1, and if it is false, it is marked as 0. The criteria 428 to label an image as true are that the shape of the 429 hand conforms to common sense, the lines (texture, 430 fingerprint), and the nails of the hand conform to 431 their relative positions and shapes, and the size of 432 each finger is relatively uniform. For the sake of 433 simplicity in the first experiment, we also labeled 434 hands with different colors (stained or lighted) and 435 hands with a small portion of other patterns as 436 correct. 437

**Baseline** As we have not been able to find a public model of a "detector that can tell whether a generated picture conforms to physical common sense". We start from scratch, the candidate models are CNN and VIT, and in this initial experiment, we chose the simple CNN model.

#### 5.2.2 Results and Discussion

438

439

440

441

442

443

444



Figure 2: The training and testing acc over epoch

We plotted the relationship between training ac-445 446 curacy and testing accuracy over epochs in Figure 2. We can see that the final training accuracy is 447 not high enough, and there is still a large gap be-448 tween the test accuracy and the training accuracy. 449 This indicates that our model is not only overfitting 450 but also has extraneous bias interference. This is 451 because our dataset is too small and the generated 452 images generally have darker backgrounds, while 453 a large portion of the true dataset has brighter back-454 grounds. It is also possible that the simple CNN 455 itself is one of the reasons for the poor training 456 results, and we set the structural complexity of the 457 initial experiments very low. This is not enough for 458 hands with complex features such as shape, texture, 459 relative position, and 3D visual occlusion relations. 460 At a time when it is unable to find hand pictures 461 that are further subdivided and annotated today, the 462 classifier based on Segmentation-learning is dif-463 ficult to improve on hand images, so we replace 464

our classification objectives from hand to the entire465human body.466

# 5.3 Segment+ViT 467

468

469

470

471

472

473

474

475

476

477

478

479

480

481

482

483

484

485

486

487

488

489

490

491

492

493

494

495

496

497

# 5.3.1 Experimental Setup



Figure 3: Segmented image sample

**Datasets** We generated about 2k images (768\*768 pixels) from the captions of the MS-COCO dataset with random prompts from a single person, using Stable Diffusion. (For images of plural people, the training results are poor in the current stage of this method.) To facilitate training, we artificially controlled the ratio of good to bad pictures in it to be about 1:1, for EACH pose. For the original images with a high degree of repetition such as "standing", a smaller portion of the dataset should be kept in order to prevent over-fitting. After dividing the dataset into training, validation, and test sets in the ratio of 7:1:2, we used shift(cv2.warpAffine), RandomRotation(10,90), and flip to augment data manually. With data augmentation, we try to make our model learn the relative relationships of various parts of the human body instead of overfitting. We use CDCL+Pascal human body part Segmentation to preprocess the images. We got the segmented image like Figure 3. Finally, we resize them to 224\*224 pixels and put them into our ViT model for learning.

**Evaluation Metrics** Similar to what we did with the hand images. In this experiment, we simply consider whether the person's limbs, head, and torso are present(the obscured part is also considered to be present.) and connected, and whether their number(the three-legged man is certainly not

right), relative positions and proportions are consistent with common sense. Details of distortion on
the hand and face were ignored in this experiment.

501**Baseline Model**We used the classical ViT model502vit-base-patch16-224-in21k, which was pre-trained503on ImageNet-21k. Considering the time constraint504and the nature of this experiment as a feasibility505study, we decided to keep the pre-trained model506and fine-tune it using our own data.

Hypothesis Our Hypothesis is: Subdivision and 507 annotation of body parts in generated images will 508 make the training of the model easier. In fact, direct 509 510 training using the original generated images with 511 a simple ViT model can not give us satisfactory results, the accuracy of the test set cannot be im-512 proved, it only over-fits. Compare with the results 513 of our training later using the segmented images, it 514 shows that our hypothesis is relatively correct. 515

Step	Training Loss	Validation Loss	Accuracy
20	0.606200	0.606544	0.632000
40	0.538100	0.535674	0.784000
60	0.579800	0.488475	0.808000
80	0.405100	0.452231	0.816000
100	0.467800	0.429404	0.832000
120	0.407700	0.396356	0.824000
140	0.325700	0.381295	0.824000
160	0.309600	0.361815	0.832000
180	0.288600	0.354236	0.840000
200	0.221200	0.348060	0.848000
220	0.217700	0.323454	0.864000

Figure 4: The validation accuracy and loss over steps for ViT model

### 5.3.2 Results and Discussion

516

519

517 The model trained/ tested using all pose prompts518 can eventually reach 86% training and 85.26% testing accuracy. Even if we completely remove the

***** test metrics *****		
epoch		4.0
eval_accuracy		0.8526
eval_loss		0.3664
eval_runtime		0:00:01.12
eval_samples_per_second		168.515
eval_steps_per_second		21.286

Figure 5: The test accuracy and loss for ViT model

images of one of the poses from the training set
and use all the images of that pose as the test set,
we still get a test accuracy of about 76%. This

shows that our model has the ability to generalize and reason.

Most importantly, we validated the idea that con-

```
per_device_train_batch_size=16,
evaluation_strategy="steps",
num_train_epochs=4,
fp16=True,
save_steps=20,
eval_steps=20,
logging_steps=10,
learning_rate=2e=5,
save_total_limit=2,
remove_unused_columns=False,
push_to_hub=False,
report_to='tensorboard',
load_best_model_at_end=True,
```

Figure 6: Hyper parameters for ViT model

tinually subdividing, identifying, and learning the relative relationships of parts may be used to make determinations about a wide range of general objects level by level.

# 5.4 Fine-tuning CLIP

### 5.4.1 Experimental Setup

**Datasets** We generated the data from the captions of the MS-COCO dataset with people objects, using the Stable Diffusion model. Our generated dataset has approximately 2500 images, where 2K images are for training and 500 for validation. Since we focused on the human body structure, we defined the physical rules set based on it. Then we labeled each image according to the physical rules set and generated free-form captions of physical rules.

**Baseline Methods** In experiments, we used ViT-B/32 CLIP as the baseline model to fine-tune. And the visual encoder we learned for the image is ViT-B/32 of CLIP. For the classifier, we use an MLP with a dropout layer.

# 5.4.2 Results and Discussion

**Model Prediction Accuracy** The prediction accuracy of our model on the generated dataset is 79.2% as shown in Table 2 which is remarkable. In table 1, we can also see that the classifier can reach a high precision on both 0 and 1 classes. However, it has a poor performance on the recall rate of 0 class which also leads to a poor F1-score. This is possibly due to the data imbalance.

**Physical Consistency Score** The Physical Consistency Score is calculated from the probability of class 1 which ranges from 0 to 100. As shown in

525

526

527

528

529

530

531

532

533

534

535

536

537

538

539

540

541

542

543

544

545

546

547

548

549

550

551

552

553

554

555

556

557

558

Table 2: Evaluation Metrics Result

CLASS	Precision	Recall	F1-Score	Support
0	0.82	0.51	0.63	946
1	0.78	0.94	0.86	1554
Accuracy				0.792
	2			

Physical consistency Score 28.11 Physical consistency Score 75.29 Physical consistency Score 15.22

Figure 7: Physical Consistency Score Sample

Picture x, in the first picture and the third picture the girl has a twisted hand and the woman has 3 legs, therefore they both have a low score. The second picture is a normal picture and its score is high. The result shows that our model can rank a reasonable score based on the physical rules set we defined.

### 6 Conclusion and Future Work

#### 6.1 Conclusion

559

560

561

566

567

570

571

572

574

575

576

578

581

582

584

585

586

In this paper, we proposed novel approaches to solve the generalized Physical Consistency Evaluation problem of AI-generated human images. In experiments, we demonstrate that both of our approaches can have a good performance in classification accuracy and give out a reasonable score to judge the Physical Consistency of an image.

#### 6.2 Future Work

Due to the limited time, we are not able to generate and label a large dataset, but a large and balanced dataset would definitely improve our results. Collecting more images that generated different poses and prompts would increase the accuracy.

For the Fine-tuning CLIP method, we focus on the human body structure when defining the physical rules set, future works might further explore whether it can be extended to more generalized physical rules such as the relationship between different objects. Besides, object detection can be utilized to extract foreground objects, which might lead to a more stable result in theory.

For the Segment-ViT method, the idea of segmenting parts and learning relative positions has been proven to work. This idea of continually subdividing, identifying, and learning the relative relationships of parts can be used to make determinations about a wide range of general objects level by level. A tree classification structure can be built, such as segmenting single people from multiple people images, segmenting hands from single people images, and separating thumbs, index fingers, and even nails and fingertips from hands. Then the classification models between layers are determining whether their relative positions, sizes, and numbers match the physical rules and finally determine the whole picture. This requires the labeling of huge amounts of data and the annotation of detailed parts of individual objects. But ultimately, this model can distinguish most of the objects in the world, and widely distinguish whether the images conform to physical common sense. Because this learning process is consistent with the way people think, it will eventually know how to determine whether the whole object is true by the details and the relations of the parts as we do.



Figure 8: Subdivides object parts further

# **Ethics Statement**

We proposed a novel approach to solve the generalized Physical Consistency Score Evaluation problem from AI-generated images. We use public human-related prompts and AI image generation, such as Stable Diffusion to collect data for our experiments. Our code or method is potentially subject to concerns of discrimination/bias/fairness since the current classification of the human body as "normal" is based on the majority of the population, this may lead to potential discrimination against minority groups such as people with disabilities if someone uses it inappropriately. Since our generated images are based on the stable diffusion model, the potential privacy issues associated

622

623

624

625

626

627

589

590

591

592

593

594

595

596

597

598

599

600

601

602

603

604

605

606

607

608

609

610

611

612

with the model itself need to be taken into account.
However, our results are currently being used only
for academic research for non-profit purposes. We
are not responsible for any unauthorized use by
others that causes ethical problems.

#### 633 Acknowledgements

634

635 636

647

651

654

655

657

663

664

670

671

673

675

This work has been supported by the University of Southern California CSCI 566 TA group in spring 2023. We also acknowledge the computational resources provided by the USC Advanced Research Computing.

### A Appendix

Tim Salimans, Ian J. Goodfellow, Wojciech Zaremba, Vicki Cheung, Alec Radford, and Xi Chen. 2016. Improved techniques for training gans. *CoRR*, abs/1606.03498.

677

678

679

680

681

682

683

684

685

Tao Xu, Pengchuan Zhang, Qiuyuan Huang, Han Zhang, Zhe Gan, Xiaolei Huang, and Xiaodong He. 2017. Attngan: Fine-grained text to image generation with attentional generative adversarial networks. *CoRR*, abs/1711.10485.

640 The code of our experiments can be found at
 641 https://github.com/Rorschach11/
 642 566Project-Evaluating-the-Correctness-of-Text-to-Image-Generations.

### References

- Tan M. Dinh, Rang Nguyen, and Binh-Son Hua. 2021. TISE: A toolbox for text-to-image synthesis evaluation. *CoRR*, abs/2112.01398.
- Alexey Dosovitskiy, Lucas Beyer, Alexander Kolesnikov, Dirk Weissenborn, Xiaohua Zhai, Thomas Unterthiner, Mostafa Dehghani, Matthias Minderer, Georg Heigold, Sylvain Gelly, Jakob Uszkoreit, and Neil Houlsby. 2020. An image is worth 16x16 words: Transformers for image recognition at scale. *CoRR*, abs/2010.11929.
- Martin Heusel, Hubert Ramsauer, Thomas Unterthiner, Bernhard Nessler, and Sepp Hochreiter. 2017. Gans trained by a two time-scale update rule converge to a local nash equilibrium. In *Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems*, volume 30. Curran Associates, Inc.
- Tobias Hinz, Stefan Heinrich, and Stefan Wermter. 2019. Semantic object accuracy for generative textto-image synthesis. *CoRR*, abs/1910.13321.
- Kevin Lin, Lijuan Wang, Kun Luo, Yinpeng Chen, Zicheng Liu, and Ming-Ting Sun. 2020. Crossdomain complementary learning using pose for multiperson part segmentation. *IEEE Transactions on Circuits and Systems for Video Technology*.
- Seongbeom Park, Suhong Moon, and Jinkyu Kim. 2022. Judge, localize, and edit: Ensuring visual commonsense morality for text-to-image generation.
- Alec Radford, Jong Wook Kim, Chris Hallacy, Aditya Ramesh, Gabriel Goh, Sandhini Agarwal, Girish Sastry, Amanda Askell, Pamela Mishkin, Jack Clark, Gretchen Krueger, and Ilya Sutskever. 2021. Learning transferable visual models from natural language supervision. *CoRR*, abs/2103.00020.